UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,146	01/28/2004	Guerino G. Sacripante	118411	9731
27074 7590 10/10/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

i	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/765,146	SACRIPANTE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William P. Fletcher III	1762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo						
WHIC - Exte - after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ıly 2007.				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 又	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-38 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptation		=yaminer :			
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	+-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		(5) 5. (7)			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	• •	-0_1 i				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Pr No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further consideration, the restriction requirement mailed July 5, 2007, is withdrawn in favor of the following.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16, 21-23, and 30-38, drawn to a powder coating and method of making, classified in class 525, subclass various.
  - II. Claims 17-20 and 24-29, drawn to a powder coating method, classified in class 427, subclass 180.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the powder coating can be used in a process of coating other than a conductive substrate.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

6. Claims 1, 2, 10, 15, 24, and 27, are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

A. for the curable resin particles: epoxy resins, polyfunctional epoxy resins, polyester resins, carboxy-functional polyester resins, hydroxyl-functional polyester resins, polyol resins, polycarboxylic acid resins, and poly(vinylidine fluoride) resins; and

B. for the curing agent: polyfunctional amines, dicyanoamide, bisphenol A, bisphenol S, hydrogenated bisphenol, polyphenolics, imidazolines, betahydroxyalkylamide, uretdione, and polyfunctional isocyanates.

The species are independent or distinct because they are not disclosed as capable of use together and produce films of different composition and properties. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1762

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,146

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571)

272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 0900h-

1700h.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/

**Primary Examiner** 

October 1, 2007